INTRODUCTION

This chapter demonstrates how the concept of stigma has evolved and is a significant factor in many chronic illnesses and disabilities. It also explores the relationship of stigma with the concepts of prejudice, stereotyping, and labeling. Because stigma is socially constructed, it varies from setting to setting. In addition, individuals and groups react differently to the stigmatizing process. Those reactions must be taken into consideration when planning strategies to improve the quality of life for individuals with chronic illnesses.

Although stigmatizing is common, not all individuals attach a stigma to their disease or disability. This chapter does not assume that all who come in contact with those who are disabled or chronically ill devalue them; rather, it insists that each of us examine our values, beliefs, and actions carefully.

The Merriam Webster Dictionary On-Line (2007) defines stigma as a “mark of shame or discredit, an identifying mark or characteristic.” The Merriam Webster Thesaurus On-Line (2007) explains stigma as a mark of guilt or disgrace. Goffman (1963) traced the historic use of the word stigma to the Greeks, who referred to “bodily signs designed to expose something unusual and bad about the moral status of the signifier” (p. 1). These signs were cut or burned into a person’s body as an indication of being a slave, a criminal, or a traitor. Notice the moral and judgmental nature of these stigmas. The disgrace and shame of the stigma became more important than the bodily evidence of it. Labeling, stereotyping, separation, status loss, and discrimination can all occur at the same time and are considered components of the stigma (Link & Phelan, 2001).
Theoretical Frameworks: Stigma, Social Identity, and Labeling Theory

Society teaches its members to categorize persons by common defining attributes and characteristics (Goffman, 1963). Daily routines establish the usual and the expected. When we meet strangers, certain appearances help us anticipate what Goffman called “social identity.” This identity includes personal attributes, such as competence, as well as structural ones, such as occupation. For example, university students usually tolerate some eccentricities in their professors, but stuttering, physical handicaps, or diseases may bestow a social identity of incompetence. Although this identity is not based on actuality, it may be stigmatizing.

One’s social identity may include: (1) physical activities, (2) professional roles, and (3) the concept of self. Anything that changes one of these, such as a disability, changes the individual’s identity and, therefore, potentially creates a stigma (Markowitz, 1998). Goffman (1963) used the idea of social identity to expand previous work done on stigma. His theory defined stigma as something that disqualifies an individual from full social acceptance. Goffman argued that social identity is a primary force in the development of stigma, because the identity that a person conveys categorizes that person. Social settings and routines tell us which categories to anticipate. Therefore, when individuals fail to meet expectations because of attributes that are different and/or undesirable, they are reduced from accepted people to discounted ones—that is, they are stigmatized.

Goffman recognized that people who had stayed in a psychiatric institute or a prison were labeled. To label a person as different or deviant by powers of the society is applying a stigma (Goffman, 1963). In general, labeling theory is the way that society labels behaviors that do not conform to the norm. For instance, an individual experiencing constant drooling or the leakage of food that requires frequent wiping of the mouth may be considered deviant. The difficulty in swallowing may be labeled by society as deviant behavior, despite the fact that tremor and dyskineasias associated with Parkinson’s disease may be the cause (Miller, Noble, Jones & Burn, 2006).

During the two decades following Goffman’s work in the 1960s, extensive criticism arose concerning the impact and long-term consequences of stigma on social identity. In the area of mental illness, critics resisted the theory that stigma could contribute to the severity and chronicity of mental illness. In a series of studies, Link proposed a modified labeling theory that asserted that labeling, derived from negative social beliefs about behavior, could lead to devaluation and discrimination. Ultimately, these feelings of devaluation and discrimination could lead to negative social consequences (Link, 1987; Link et al., 1989; Link et al., 1997). Those who are labeled with mental illness often are excluded from social activities and discriminated against when they do participate.

In 1987, Link compared the expectations of discrimination and devaluation and the severity of demoralization among clients with newly diagnosed mental illness, repeat clients with mental illness, former clients with mental illness, and community residents (Link, 1987). He found that both new and repeat clients with mental illness scored higher on measures of demoralization and discrimination than community residents and former mentally ill clients. Further, he demonstrated that high scores were related to income loss and unemployment.

In 1989, Link and colleagues tested a modified labeling theory on a similar group of clients with newly diagnosed mental illness, repeat clients with mental illness, former clients, untreated clients, and community residents who were well (Link et al., 1989). They found that all groups expected clients to be devalued and discriminated against. They also found that, among current clients, the expectation of devaluation and discrimination promoted coping mechanisms of secrecy and withdrawal. Such coping mechanisms have a strong effect on social networks, reducing the size of those networks to persons considered to be safe and trustworthy.
In 1997, Link and colleagues tested modified labeling theory in a longitudinal study that compared the effects of stigma on the well-being of clients who had mental illness and a pattern of substance abuse to determine the strength of the long-term negative effects of stigma and whether the effects of treatment have counter-balancing positive effects (Link et al., 1997). They found that perceived devaluation and discrimination, as well as actual reports of discrimination, continued to have negative effects on clients even though clients were improved and had responded well to treatment. They concluded that healthcare professionals attempting to improve quality of life for clients with mental illness must contend initially with the effects of stigma in its own right to be successful.

Fife and Wright (2000) studied stigma using modified labeling theory as a framework in individuals with HIV/AIDS and cancer. They found that stigma had a significant influence on the lives of persons with HIV/AIDS and with cancer. However, they also found that the nature of the illness had few direct effects on self-perception, whereas the effects on self appeared to relate directly to the perception of stigma. Their findings suggested that stigma has different dimensions, which have different effects on self. Rejection and social isolation lead to diminished self-esteem. Social isolation influences body image. A lack of sense of personal control stems from social isolation and financial insecurity. Social isolation appears to be the only dimension of stigma that affects each component of self.

Camp, Finlay, and Lyons (2002) questioned the inevitability of the effects of stigma on self based on the hypothesis that, in order for stigma to exert a negative influence on self-concept, the individuals must first be aware of and accept the negative self-perceptions, accept that the identity relates to them, and then apply the negative perceptions to themselves. A study of women with long-term mental health problems found that these women did not accept negative social perceptions as relevant to them. Rather, they attributed the negative perceptions to deficiencies among those who stigmatized them. These researchers found no evidence of the passive acceptance of labels and negative identities. These women appeared to avoid social interactions where they anticipated feeling different and excluded, and formed new social networks with groups in which they felt accepted and understood. Whereas they acknowledged the negative consequences of mental illness, there did not appear to be an automatic link between these consequences and negative self-evaluation. Factors that contributed to a positive self-evaluation included membership in a supportive in-group, finding themselves in a more favorable circumstance than others with the same problems, and sharing experiences with others who had knowledge and insight about mental illness.

In summary, stigma, defined as discrediting another, arises from widely held social beliefs about personality, behavior, and illness, and is communicated to individuals through a process of socialization. When individuals display the condition that engenders the mark of discredit, they may experience social devaluation and discrimination. Stigma clearly attaches to individuals with mental illness as well as individuals with infectious and terminal diseases. Stigma may produce changes in perception of body image, social isolation, rejection, loss of status, and perceived lack of personal control. However, there is some evidence to suggest that stigma does not attach universally to individuals with marked behavior or conditions. Some individuals appear resistant to stigma, identifying flaws in the society conveying the negative beliefs. These individuals share experiences with others who have knowledge of and sensitivity to being stigmatized and benefit from the ability to perceive themselves as equal to or better off than others with the same condition.

Unique Aspects of Stigma

There are special circumstances in which stigma can be perceived with enhanced distinction. Individuals who lack a fully-developed sense of
personal identity and who are reliant upon external sources to reinforce their internal sense of worthiness may be uniquely prone to a sense of stigma. Adolescence can be used as an example. There are aspects of society that tend to be highly valued by individuals, and when that society communicates stigma, the stigmatizing beliefs are uniquely powerful. Religion and culture are examples, as well as issues concerning self-infliction and punishment.

The task of developing a stable, coherent identity is one of the most important tasks of adolescence (Erikson, 1968). To successfully complete this task, the adolescent must be able to utilize formal operational thinking within a context of expanded social experiences to evolve a sense of self that integrates not only the similarities, but also the differences observed between him- or herself and others. Social interactions and messages from the sociocultural environment about what is desirable and what is not desirable guide and direct the adolescent toward an identity that incorporates desired similarities and rejects undesired differences. The influences and preferences of peers become important as the adolescent seeks acceptance of this newly developed sense of identity. The skill of labeling and stigmatizing individuals with intolerable differences is wielded with frightening force and sometimes terrible consequences. The Columbine High School (Colorado) massacre in 1999 is an example:

Eric and Dylan seemed to relish their roles as outsiders...It wasn't that they were labeled that way. It's what they chose to be. That choice invited taunting by a group of jocks...known bullies throughout the school...Some of the jocks and their friends pushed Eric into lockers. They called him 'faggot'...Jessica defined Columbine this way..."There's basically two classes of people. There's the low and the high. The low [people] stick together and the high [people] make fun of the low and you just deal with it (Bartels & Crowder, 1999).

Culture may determine stigma as well. For some conditions, such as traumatic brain injury (Simpson, Mohr, & Redman, 2000), HIV/AIDS (Heckman, Anderson, Sikkema, Kochman et al., 2004), and epilepsy (Baker, Brooks, Buck, & Jacoby, 2000), stigma and social isolation cross cultural boundaries. On the other hand, in a study of attitudes about homelessness in 11 European cities, Brandon, Khoo, Maglaljie, and Abuel-Ealeh (2000) found marked differences in attitudes between countries, with high levels of stigma predominating in former Warsaw Pact countries. A determination of racial and/or cultural inferiority of a minority group by a dominant group may result in racism, discrimination, and stigma (Weston, 2003; Williams, 1999).

Religion may also play a role in stigma. In a study of five large religious groups in London that examined attitudes about depression and schizophrenia, it was found that fear of stigma among non-white groups was prevalent, and particularly the fear of being misunderstood by white healthcare professionals not of the same religious group (Cinnirella & Loewenthal, 1999).

Actual physical or mental disability is not solely responsible for social reaction. The incorporation of children with “special needs” (often children with delayed or slowed mental development) into mainstream education has forced the reevaluation of long-held beliefs and stereotypes that have stigmatized these children in the past (Waldman, Swerdloff, & Perlman, 1999). The label produces the negative response from nonlabeled people, rather than some aberrant or inadequate behavior producing it. Therefore, the label and associated stigma of a disability or disease exclude individuals from social interaction while their intellectual or physical handicaps alone may or may not (Link et al., 1997).

Most stigmas are perceived as threatening by and to others. Criminals and social deviants are stigmatized because they create a sense of anxiety by threatening society's values and safety. Similarly, encounters with sick and disabled individuals also cause anxiety and apprehension, but
in a different way. The encounter destroys the dream that life is fair. Sick people remind us of our mortality and vulnerability; consequently, physically healthy individuals may make negative value judgments about those who are ill or disabled (Kurzban & Leary, 2001). For example, some sighted individuals may regard those who are blind as being dependent or unwilling to take care of themselves, an assumption that is not based on what the blind person is willing or able to do. Individuals with AIDS are often subjected to moral judgment. Those with psychiatric illness have been stigmatized since medieval times (Keltner, Schwecke, & Bostrom, 2003). As a result, these individuals deal with more than their symptomatology; on a daily basis they contend with those who perceive them as less worthy or valuable: They possess a stigma.

Some individuals are stigmatized because the behavior or difference is considered to be self-inflicted and, therefore, less worthy of help. Alcoholism, drug-related problems, and mental illness are frequently included in this category (Crisp et al., 2000; Ritson, 1999). HIV, AIDS, and hepatitis B are examples of infectious diseases in which the mode of infection is considered to be self-inflicted as a result of socially unacceptable behavior; therefore, affected individuals are stigmatized (Halevy, 2000; Heckman et al., 2004).

In the past, the words shame and guilt were used to describe a concept similar to stigma—a perceived difference between a behavior or an attribute and an ideal standard. From this perspective, guilt is defined as self-criticism, and shame results from the disapproval of others. Guilt is similar to seeing oneself as discreditable. Shame is a painful feeling caused by the scorn or contempt of others. For example, a person with alcoholism may feel guilty about drinking and also feel ashamed that others perceive his or her behavior as less than desirable.

Therefore, the concept of deviance versus normality is a social construct. That is, individuals are devalued because they display attributes that some call deviant (Kurzban & Leary, 2001). At Columbine High School, some teens, labeled jocks, stigmatized other teens who were considered as “low” and therefore “expected” to be taunted (Bartels & Crowder, 1999). Indeed, old age, which will one day characterize all of us, is often stigmatized (Ebersole, Hess, Touhy, & Jett, 2005). Furthermore, because stigma is socially defined, it differs from setting to setting. Use of recreational drugs, for instance, may be normal in one group and taboo in another.

Whenever a stigma is present, the devaluing characteristic is so powerful that it overshadows other traits and becomes the focus of one’s personal evaluation (Kurzban & Leary, 2001). This trait, or differentness, is sufficiently powerful to break the claim of all other attributes (Goffman, 1963). As an example, the fact that a nurse has unstable type 1 diabetes may cancel her/his remaining identity as a competent health professional. The stigma attached to a professor’s stutter may overshadow academic competence.

The extent of stigma resulting from any particular condition cannot be predicted. Individuals with a specific disease do not universally feel the same degree of stigma. On the other hand, very different disabilities may possess the same stigma. In writing about individuals with mental illness, Link et al. (1997) described variations in symptomology among them; however, individuals without mental illness did not take those variations into account. All individuals who were disabled were seen as sharing the same stigma—mental illness—regardless of their capabilities or severity of their illness. That is, people responded to the mental illness stereotype rather than to the person’s actual physical and mental capabilities.

Similarly, Herek, Capitanio, and Widaman (2003) reported on the stigmatizing effects of the label of HIV/AIDS. They found that those individuals who reported a perceived reduction in the level of stigma attached to HIV/AIDS overall, generally expressed negative feelings toward people with AIDS and favored a name-based reporting system such as that used by the public health department for other communicable diseases.
Types of Stigma

Stigma is a universal phenomenon and every society stigmatizes. Goffman (1963) distinguished among three types of stigma. The first is the stigma of physical deformity. The actual stigma is the deficit between the expected norm of perfect physical condition and the actual physical condition. For example, many chronic conditions create changes in physical appearance or function. These changes frequently create a difference in self- or other-perception (see Chapter 6). Changes of this kind also occur with aging. The normal aging process creates a body far different from the television commercial “norm” of youth, physical beauty, and leanness, although this norm is changing slowly to include mature and elderly individuals as changes in the demographics of the population occur.

The second type of stigma is that of character blemishes. This type may occur in individuals with AIDS, alcoholism, mental illness, or homosexuality. For example, individuals infected with HIV face considerable stigma because many believe that the infected person could have controlled the behaviors that resulted in the infection (Haley, 2000; Beckman et al., 2004; Herek, Capitanio, & Widaman, 2003; Weston, 2003). Likewise, individuals with eating disorders such as anorexia nervosa fear being stigmatized (Stewart, Keel, & Schiavo, 2006). The fear of stigma can be a major barrier to seeking treatment.

The third type of stigma is tribal in origin and is known more commonly as prejudice. This type of stigma originates when one group perceives features of race, religion, or nationality of another group as deficient compared with their own socially constructed norm. Most healthcare professionals agree that prejudice has no place in the healthcare delivery system. Although some professionals display both subtle and overt intolerance, others strive to treat persons of every age, race, and nationality with sensitivity. However, prejudice against individuals with chronic illnesses exists as surely as racial or religious prejudice.

The three types of stigma may overlap and reinforce each other (Kurzban & Leary, 2001). Individuals who are already socially isolated because of race, age, or poverty will be additionally hurt by the isolation resulting from another stigma. Heukelbach and Feldmeier (2006) stated that scabies infestations are associated with poverty in undeveloped countries, which contributes to the stigmatization of both diagnosis and treatment. Those who are financially disadvantaged or culturally distinct (that is, stigmatized by the majority of society) will suffer more stigma should they become disabled. Poor women with HIV feared the stigma associated with HIV/AIDS more than dying of the disease (Abel, 2007).

More recently, psychologists and sociologists have built on Goffman’s theory to address the concepts of felt stigma and enacted stigma (Jacoby, 1994; Scambler, 2004). Felt stigma is the internalized perception of being devalued or “not as good as” by an individual. It may be related to fears of having others treat one as different or of being labeled by others, even though the stigmatizing attribute is not known or outwardly apparent. The other component of felt stigma is shame (Scambler, 2004). Individuals view themselves as disgraceful. The quote at the beginning of this chapter is an example of a client experiencing felt stigma.

Enacted stigma, on the other hand, refers to behaviors and perceptions by others toward the individual who is perceived as different. Enacted stigma is the situational response of others to a visible, overt stigmatizing attribute of another (Jacoby, 1994; Scambler, 2004). Hesitating or failing to shake hands with a person who has vitiligo, a dermatologic condition characterized by hypopigmentation of the skin, is an example of enacted stigma.

Stigma is prevalent in our society and, once it occurs it endures (Link et al., 1997). If the cause of stigma is removed, the effects are not easily overcome. An individual’s social identity has already been influenced by the stigmatizing attribute. A person with a history of alcoholism or mental illness continues to carry a stigma in the same way that a former prison inmate does.
Chronic Disease as Stigma

Individuals with chronic illness present deviations from what many people expect in daily social interchanges. In general, most people do not expect to meet someone with an electronic voice-box following treatment for laryngeal cancer. Both the cancer and the assistive device may not be readily visible, but once the person begins to speak, the individual is at risk of being labeled as “different” by others.

American values contribute to the perception of chronic illness as a stigmatizing condition. That is, the dominant culture emphasizes qualities of youth, attractiveness, and personal accomplishment. The work ethic and heritage of the western frontier provide heroes who are strong, conventionally productive, and physically healthy. Television and magazines demonstrate, on a daily basis, that physical perfection is the standard against which all are measured, yet these societal values collide with the reality of chronic disease. A discrepancy exists between the realities of a chronic condition, such as arthritis or AIDS, and the social expectation of physical perfection.

A disease characteristic or one having an unknown etiology, may contribute to the stigma of many chronic illnesses. In fact, any disease having an unclear cause or ineffectual treatment is suspect, including Alzheimer’s disease (Jolley & Benbow, 2000) and anxiety disorders (Davies, 2000). Clients with Alzheimer’s disease also may be stigmatized because of perceptions relating to their level of decision making competence (Werner, 2006). Diseases that are somewhat mysterious and at the same time feared, such as leprosy, are often felt to be morally contagious.

Stigmatized individuals may lead to inequitable treatment for their families. Because of the secrecy associated with being HIV-positive, affected clients and family members may not be able to access needed mental health, substance abuse rehabilitation, or infectious disease therapies (Salisbury, 2000).

So far, this chapter has defined stigma and presented a framework for understanding stigma as a social construct. All types of stigma share a common tie: In every case, an individual who might have interacted easily in a particular social situation may now be prevented from doing so by the discredited trait. The trait may become the focus of attention and potentially turn others away.

IMPACT OF STIGMA

Stigma has an impact on both the affected individual and those persons who do not share the particular trait. Responses to stigma vary and will be discussed from the perspective of the person living with stigma, the lay person, and the health-care professional.

The Individual Living With Stigma

Stigmatized individuals respond to the reactions of others in a variety of ways. They are often unsure about the attitudes of others and, therefore, may feel a constant need to make a good impression. Individuals living with stigma each and every day may choose to accept society’s or others’ view of them, or choose to reject others’ discrediting viewpoints. Culture may limit the coping choices that are available, particularly in relation to disclosing a mental illness. In a study of West Indian women coping with depression, Schreiber, Stern, and Wilson (2000) found that “being strong” was the culturally sanctioned behavior for depression, rather than disclosure.

Passing

Passing oneself off as “normal” is one strategy used by individuals living with a stigmatizing condition. Pretending to have no disability or a less
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Case Studies

Case Study #1

Natalie Johnson is a 52-year-old, divorced, administrative assistant for the local school district. She began experiencing increasing fatigue, vision disturbances, and global muscle weakness approximately 6 years ago. After a lengthy and anxiety-producing year of testing, she was diagnosed with multiple sclerosis 3 weeks ago. Her disease course has been primary-progressive, and she anticipates that she will not be able to work much longer. She is thankful that the union contract provides healthcare benefits that include long-term care insurance. Her primary concern at this stage is mobility and maintaining her independence for as long as possible.

Discussion Questions

1. What type of stigma is Ms. Johnson at risk for? How would you assess this client’s self-perception of stigma?
2. What strategies would you use to reduce the effects of stigma for this client?
3. You approach Ms. Johnson about sending an intake nurse to her home to do an initial home environment assessment and to get her established with the local home healthcare agency. How can healthcare professionals break down the barriers of stigma among the healthcare team?
4. What behaviors by Ms. Johnson would suggest that she does or does not label herself as stigmatized?

Case Study #2

Angel Martinez is a 19-year-old college student who was diagnosed with epilepsy at age 8. He works part-time at the bookstore on campus and lives in a fraternity house. His seizures are well-controlled by medications. He was treated for mild depression following the death of his twin sister in a motor vehicle accident 9 months ago. Mr. Martinez is concerned that his employer and fraternity brothers will “find out” about his depression and has avoided refilling the prescription for an antidepressant medication.

Discussion Questions

1. What suggestions could you give to the healthcare team to facilitate a culturally appropriate assessment?
2. Are there any specific “labels” that Mr. Martinez may apply to himself? Are there any specific “labels” that the healthcare team may apply, thereby creating the barrier of stigma?
3. What stigmatizing situations might arise for Mr. Martinez in the workplace? In the community?
4. What are the benefits and costs of increasing client participation in healthcare delivery? How does increasing client participation affect the stigma that Mr. Martinez may feel?
5. What strategies might lessen the stigma that Mr. Martinez perceives?

Stigmatic identity (Dudley, 1983; Goffman, 1963; Joachim & Acorn, 2000) may be an option if the stigmatizing attribute is not readily visible. Passing is a viable option for those with felt stigma associated with conditions such as type 2 diabetes or a positive AIDS antibody test but no symptoms. The process of passing may include the concealment of any signs of the stigma. Some individuals refuse to use adaptive devices, such as hearing aids, because this tells others of their disability. Another
example is the abused client who provides reasonable explanations for bruises, swelling, and injuries. The practice of “passing” may significantly impair the health-seeking behavior of the abused individual, particularly where sociocultural barriers to disclosure exist (Bauer, Rodriguez, Quiroga, & Flores-Ortiz, 2000).

**Covering**

Because of the potential threat and anxiety-provoking nature of disclosing a stigmatizing difference, most people de-emphasize their differentness. This response, called covering, is an attempt to make the difference seem smaller or less significant than it really is (Goffman, 1963). Covering involves understanding the difference between visibility and obtrusiveness; that is, the condition is openly acknowledged, but its consequences are minimized. Persons with special dietary requirements may deny the importance of maintaining the restriction in a social situation, even though they follow it. The goal is to divert attention from the defect, create a more comfortable situation for all, and minimize the risk of experiencing enacted stigma.

Humor, used in a skillful and light-hearted manner by the stigmatized individual, may decrease the anxiety of others and avoid an awkward encounter. This form of covering neutralizes the anxiety-producing subject; therefore, it is no longer taboo and can more easily be managed.

**Disregard**

A person’s first response to enacted stigma may be disregard. In other words, they may choose not to reflect on or discuss the painful incidents. Well-adjusted individuals who are comfortable with their identity, have dealt with stigma for a long time, and choose not to respond to the reaction of others, may disregard it (Dudley, 1983).

Another example is provided by wheelchair athletes. These athletes disregard perceptions that their disabilities prohibit them from participating in strenuous, athletic endeavors. Any person who has observed these well-conditioned athletes racing their wheelchairs up hills in competitive meets may find it difficult to consider them discredited.

Going public with a serious medical diagnosis is another example of disregard by acting in the face of negative consequences. One positive aspect of going public is the potential for assertive political action and social change. Celebrities such as Muhammad Ali, Earvin (Magic) Johnson, Michael J. Fox, and the late Christopher and Dana Reeve, among others, have captured public attention and acted positively to reduce enacted stigma by disclosing their personal struggles with a variety of conditions.

**Resistance and Rejection**

Similar to disregard, resistance, and rejection are additional strategies used in response to stigma (Dudley, 1983). Individuals may speak out and challenge rules and protocol if their needs are not met. More recently, Franks, Henwood, and Bowden (2007) noted that resisting and rejecting were strategies used by maternal mental health clients. These disadvantaged mothers outright rejected or actively resisted the judgments of professionals who held negative opinions. Broader societal misconceptions, such as all teen mothers are on welfare, also were rejected. The use of resistance or rejection can be used to preserve or bolster a more positive self-identity and effect larger societal changes.

**Isolation**

Human beings have a proclivity for separating themselves into small subgroups because staying with one’s own group is easier, requires less effort, and, for some individuals, is more congenial. However, this separation into groups tends to emphasize differences rather than similarities (Link et al., 1989) (see Chapter 5).

Closed interaction from within may enhance one’s feelings of normality because the individual
Chapter 3: Stigma

The Lay Person: Responses to and Effects of Stigma

Responses of an individual to a stigmatized person vary with the particular stigma and the individual’s past conditioning. Because society specifies the characteristics that are stigmatized, it also teaches its members how to react to that stigma. Differences between groups based on nationality and culture have been found in attitudes toward those with disabilities (Brandon et al., 2000; Cinnirella & Loewenthal, 1999). Just as children learn to interact with others who are culturally different by watching and listening to those around them, they also learn how to treat chronically ill or disabled individuals by incorporating societal judgments. Sadly, these reactions are often negative.

Devaluing

People may believe that the person with the stigma is less valuable, less human, or less desired. Unfortunately, many of us practice more than one kind of discrimination and, by doing so, effectively reduce the life chances of the stigmatized individual (Goffman, 1963). Devaluing results in enacted stigma as demonstrated by those who categorize individuals as inferior or even dangerous. Use of words such as cripple or moron also represent a devaluing of individuals.

Stereotyping

Categories simplify our lives. Instead of having to decide what to do in every situation, we can respond to categories of situations. Stereotypes are a negative type of category. They are a social reaction to ambiguous situations and allow us to react to group expectations rather than to individuals. When individuals meet those with physical impairments, expectations are not clear (Katz, 1981). People often are at a loss as to how to react, so placing the individual with chronic illness in a stereotyped category reduces the ambiguousness
Attitudes

It is not surprising that society’s values and definitions of stigma affect the attitudes of healthcare professionals. Attitudes can be changed by interactions with clients and chronically ill acquaintances (Sandelowski & Barroso, 2003). Students’ confidence in clients’ ability to cope with a disease increased with professional experience. In a similar manner, knowing someone with a chronic disease increased positive attitudes. When healthcare professionals (general practitioners, nurses, and counselors) were asked about their perceptions of depression among older adults, they agreed that the older adults displayed embarrassment and shame when disclosing feelings of depression. Older adults were perceived as reluctant to seek mental health services because depression was identified as a stigma. Whether these perceptions of the healthcare professionals are consistent with those of the older adults will require further examination (Murray et al., 2006).

Perceptions

Healthcare professionals’ perceptions of stigma affect care outcomes. Liggins and Hatcher (2005) studied the stigma of mental illness in the hospital setting. Labeling a client as mentally ill had a negative impact on both the client and the healthcare professional. Clients believed that they were ignored or treated differently because they had mental illness. They feared how the healthcare professional would respond to them. The healthcare professional showed disbelief toward physical ailments because the client had “mental disease.” Clearly, interventions to reduce perceptions of stigma and episodes of enacted stigma in the hospital setting are needed.

The attitude of the healthcare worker is vital in reducing the stigma associated with chronic illnesses. Toward him or her and makes the situation more comfortable for those doing the stereotyping. Much less effort is required to sustain a bias than is required to reconsider or alter it.

Using stereotypes to understand individuals decreases our attention to other positive characteristics (Hynd, 1958). Categorizing tends to make one see the world as a dichotomy. For example, people are categorized as either mentally delayed or not, even though mental capabilities exist on a continuum, with all of us falling somewhere along the line.

Responses such as scapegoating and ostracizing people with AIDS have increased the impact of this disease and delayed treatment (Distabile, Dubler, Solomon, & Klein, 1999; Rehm & Franck, 2000; Salisbury, 2000). These responses also impede appropriate health education aimed at prevention.

Labeling

The label attached to an individual’s condition is crucial and influences the way we think about that individual. The diagnosis of AIDS is a powerful label, possibly resulting in the loss of relationships and jobs. People with learning disabilities may not mind being called slow learners, but may be startled by being called mentally retarded (Dudley, 1983). Their response indicates that they see this latter term as a negative label.

Professional Responses: Attitudes and Perceptions of Stigma

In the United States, most healthcare professionals share the American dream of achievement, attractiveness, and a cohesive, healthy family. These values influence our perceptions of individuals who are disabled, chronically ill, or otherwise considered “less than normal.” Although the factors that contribute to these individual differences vary, the consequences of stigma associated with chronic illnesses are similar in different health conditions and cultures (Van Brakel, 2006).
illness. Healthcare professionals who are non-judgmental, empathic, and knowledgeable were observed to reduce the perception of stigma in a specialized HIV/AIDS unit. Stigma was minimized when nurses and other interdisciplinary team members identified themselves with the behaviors of the clients, held a positive view of the disease, and reached consensus in the delivery of appropriate care (Hodgson, 2006).

Another study of medical students’ perspectives of illness disclosed a surprising aspect of stigma. Medical students revealed a high level of concern over the perception of social stigma attached to their own personal health problems and the resulting professional jeopardy they might encounter upon disclosure (Roberts, Warner, & Trumpower, 2000).

Healthcare professionals potentially display all the reactions and responses toward discredited individuals that lay persons do. Therefore, caregivers need a thorough understanding of these responses if we are to overcome the effects of stigmatizing behavior or to eliminate it outright. Understanding the concept of stigma increases one's ability to plan interventions for clients with chronic illnesses (Joachim & Acorn, 2000).

INTERVENTIONS: COPING WITH STIGMA OR REDUCING STIGMA

A chronic illness or disability imposes various constraints on an individual’s life. The stigma of a specific disorder adds additional burdens, often far greater than those caused by the disorder itself (Joachim & Acorn, 2000). Individuals with chronic conditions usually receive medical treatment, but few interventions may be directed at reducing the effects of the associated stigma.

Helping others to manage the effects of stigma is not simple and should be approached with care. At best, change will be slow and uneven. However, consistent and knowledgeable interventions aimed specifically at reducing the impact of stigma are as crucial as those that reduce blood pressure or chronic pain. The following section discusses appropriate strategies the healthcare provider can employ in his or her practice to address the issue of stigma.

Healthcare Professional and Client Interactions

The healthcare professional who is aware of his or her own biases, beliefs, and behaviors has already begun to mitigate the effects of stigma for the client and family members. Being aware of the societal context and implications that a diagnosis of chronic illness carries with it enables the healthcare professional to work with the client to develop strategies to prevent, reduce, or cope with potentially stigmatizing conditions.

Professional Attitudes: Cure versus Care

Traditionally, the goal of healthcare has been to cure the client. Because chronic illness is now more prevalent than infectious disease or acute illness, this criterion of success may be inappropriate. Cure is neither essential nor necessary in order that the client benefit. Instead, caring, demonstrated by valuing and assisting, should be the criterion. With the increasing number of people with chronic illnesses, professionals must learn to accept those characteristics accompanying chronic illness: an indeterminate course of disease, relapses, and multiple treatment modalities. Cost containment is a central focus in healthcare delivery. Providers must not lose sight, however, of health policy considerations that include ideas of personhood and equitable health care sensitive to the reality of stigmatizing chronic illness (Gewirtz & Gossart-Walker, 2000; Roskes, Feldman, Arrington, & Leisher, 1999; Salisbury, 2000).

The Mutual Participation Model

The manner in which health care is delivered may increase or decrease the effects of stigma. Encouraging a client’s participation in healthcare decision making is an outward demonstration of
respects and regard for that person. Establishing the client as a partner in setting goals demonstrates one’s acceptance and valuing of the individual. On the other hand, when healthcare professionals make decisions regarding treatment or goals without consulting a client, they reinforce the person’s feeling of being discredited or discreditable. Therefore, any mode of care delivery that increases client participation enhances that person’s perception of self-worth and reduces the effects of stigma. The mutual participation model is the model of choice in managing chronic diseases because it enhances the client’s feelings of self-worth. The client is responsible for long-term disease management, and the healthcare professional is responsible for helping the client help himself or herself.

Mutual participation divides power evenly between professional and client and leads to a relationship that can be mutually satisfying. In other words, the client should be as satisfied with the recommendations and decisions as the provider is. In addition, each party depends on the other for information culminating in a satisfactory solution. The client needs the provider’s experience and expertise; the provider needs not only the client’s history and symptoms but his or her priorities, expectations, and goals. Sometimes a choice between treatments with relatively equal mortality rates is necessary—for example, surgery or radiation for cancer treatment. The professional can offer expert knowledge regarding long-term effects of radiation and changes in body image due to surgery. The client must decide the relative value of side effects of the alternative proposed treatments. Because the “right” decision depends on the individual, input from both client and healthcare provider is necessary to produce a course of action that is mutually acceptable.

When healthcare professionals become more comfortable with allowing clients a greater range of participation and decision making, the relationship decreases some of the stigmatizing effects of the disability. Health professionals must create an atmosphere in which individuals with chronic conditions not only are expected to cooperate, but are encouraged to express their concerns, observations, expectations, and limitations. Together, they explore alternative strategies and decide on one that is agreeable to both. When a client’s priorities and goals are valued and incorporated into the regimen, an increased sense of acceptance emerges. Therefore, the respect and regard for clients demonstrated by this model provide effective tools to counteract some stigmatizing effects of illness.

Healthcare professionals who establish a therapeutic relationship with their client are ideally situated to assess their client’s perceptions of felt or enacted stigma. Asking open ended questions to ascertain how the client perceives himself or herself, the meaning of the disease to the client, and types of interactions with others may elicit valuable information. Family members and significant others may be included in the assessment as well. It is particularly important to distinguish between nonparticipation and nonacceptance when caring for stigmatized individuals. Nonparticipation is an abstinence from social activities that is based on limitations caused by a disability or illness. Nonacceptance, on the other hand, is a negative attitude—a resistance or reluctance on the part of the nondisabled person to admit the disabled person to various kinds and degrees of social relationships (Ladieu-Leviton, Adler, & Dembo, 1977). A person with a disability who chooses not to join a camping trip is a nonparticipant; the physical disability serves as the basis for that person’s decision not to participate. Deciding not to invite that person to join the group, whether or not participation is possible, is nonacceptance; it preempts the person’s choice and is a form of enacted stigma.

Commonly, individuals without a disability cannot accurately estimate the limits of potential participation for those with a disease or disability—a key point for healthcare professionals to remember. Typically, the physical limitations imposed by a disability are overestimated by others. If nondisabled individuals incorrectly assume that a disabled individual is not able to participate, that is a form of nonacceptance. Such nonacceptance is created by the
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of others (enacted stigma), but some experience strong negative feelings about their own self-worth (felt stigma). These internalized perceptions may be more difficult to deal with than the illness or disability itself. Examples of negative feelings were described by 60 study participants with epilepsy. More than half of the participants experienced feelings of shame, fear, worry, and low self-esteem, and one-fourth had the perception of stigma (de Souza & Salgado, 2006).

In another study, obese individuals and their family members noted both stigmatization and discrimination on the basis of weight. They reported being constantly reminded by family members, peers, healthcare providers, and strangers that they were inferior as compared to those who were not obese (Rogge, Greenwald, & Golden, 2004). In an attempt to change self-perception of stigma, Abel (2007) utilized an intervention of emotional writing disclosure for women with HIV. Women who participated in the intervention had more positive scores on the Stigma Scale tool at the end of the 12-week pilot study than women in the control group. This journaling strategy may be one way the healthcare provider can help individuals change their internal perception of stigma.

With these internalized negative perceptions, some people with chronic illness choose to conceal the disclosure of the disease. When 14 people with a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis and their families were interviewed, it was found that the disease was purposefully concealed or selectively disclosed to shield from social judgment or to enhance social belonging at work (Grytten & Maseide, 2005). In describing studies of clients with cerebral palsy, cancer, facial deformity, arthritis, and multiple sclerosis, Shontz (1977) noted that the personal meaning of the disability to each client was uniformly regarded as crucial. For example, individuals who feel valuable because they are healthy and physically fit usually experience feelings of worthlessness if they contract a chronic condition. But people with diabetes will never be without a regimen and the necessary paraphernalia; visually impaired individuals will never see normally.

**Client-Centered Interventions**

**Strategies to Increase Self-Worth**

Societal norms and values are a major determinant of an individual's sense of self-esteem and self-worth. The person who does not possess the expected attribute is quite aware of this discredit as an equal and desired individual in society. In addition, individuals with chronic conditions may find their own deformities or failings decrease their self-respect. That is, not only do stigmatized individuals have to deal with the responses of others (enacted stigma), but some experience strong negative feelings about their own self-worth (felt stigma). These internalized perceptions may be more difficult to deal with than the illness or disability itself. Examples of negative feelings were described by 60 study participants with epilepsy. More than half of the participants experienced feelings of shame, fear, worry, and low self-esteem, and one-fourth had the perception of stigma (de Souza & Salgado, 2006).

In another study, obese individuals and their family members noted both stigmatization and discrimination on the basis of weight. They reported being constantly reminded by family members, peers, healthcare providers, and strangers that they were inferior as compared to those who were not obese (Rogge, Greenwald, & Golden, 2004). In an attempt to change self-perception of stigma, Abel (2007) utilized an intervention of emotional writing disclosure for women with HIV. Women who participated in the intervention had more positive scores on the Stigma Scale tool at the end of the 12-week pilot study than women in the control group. This journaling strategy may be one way the healthcare provider can help individuals change their internal perception of stigma.

With these internalized negative perceptions, some people with chronic illness choose to conceal the disclosure of the disease. When 14 people with a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis and their families were interviewed, it was found that the disease was purposefully concealed or selectively disclosed to shield from social judgment or to enhance social belonging at work (Grytten & Maseide, 2005). In describing studies of clients with cerebral palsy, cancer, facial deformity, arthritis, and multiple sclerosis, Shontz (1977) noted that the personal meaning of the disability to each client was uniformly regarded as crucial. For example, individuals who feel valuable because they are healthy and physically fit usually experience feelings of worthlessness if they contract a chronic condition. But people with diabetes will never be without a regimen and the necessary paraphernalia; visually impaired individuals will never see normally.

**Client-Centered Interventions**

**Strategies to Increase Self-Worth**

Societal norms and values are a major determinant of an individual's sense of self-esteem and self-worth. The person who does not possess the expected attribute is quite aware of this discredit as an equal and desired individual in society. In addition, individuals with chronic conditions may find their own deformities or failings decrease their self-respect. That is, not only do stigmatized individuals have to deal with the responses...
“Am I worthwhile?” is answered by determining his or her own values and perspective. Therefore, clients’ definitions of themselves are crucial factors in self-satisfaction (see Chapter 7).

Support Groups

Goffman (1963) used the term the own for those who share a stigma. Those who share the same stigma can offer the “tricks of the trade,” acceptance, and moral support to a person living with stigma. Self-help or support groups are examples of persons who are the own. Alcoholics Anonymous (AA), for instance, provides a community of the own as well as a way of life for its members. Members speak publicly, demonstrating that people with alcoholism are treatable, not terrible, people.

Groups composed of people with similar conditions can be formal or informal and are enormously helpful. First, peer groups can be used to explore all of the potential response options discussed previously, such as resisting and passing. Second, problem-solving sessions in these groups explore possible solutions to common situations (Dudley, 1983). Finally, others who share the stigma provide a source of acceptance and support for both the individuals with the chronic condition and their families. Maternal mental health clients developed and implemented an ongoing support group in consultation with a healthcare professional (Franks et al., 2007). These women were able to promote and sustain their group for a 12-month period.

O’Sullivan (2006) reported on a unique twist to the self-help group. A Barcelona, Spain radio program is planned and implemented by persons with mental illness. The program seeks to inform, educate, and break down the stigma and stereotypes associated with mental illness. Benefits to the participants included an increase in self-esteem and more positive self-perception.

A word of caution is needed. Sometimes stigmatized individuals feel more comfortable with nonstigmatized individuals than with like others again. Therefore, the individuals’ reactions and ability to accommodate these discrepancies determine their attitudes of worth and value.

In contrast, some individuals with chronic conditions can accept deviations from expected norms and feel relatively untouched. They have reordered life’s priorities; no longer is the absence of disease or disability their major criterion for self-worth. Rather, an alternative ideology evolves to counter the “standard” ideologies. A strong sense of identity protects them, and they are able to feel acceptable in the face of the stigma (Goffman, 1963).

This identity belief system, also called cognitive belief patterns, refers to a person’s perspective. It includes one’s perceptions, mental attitudes, beliefs, and interpretations of experiences (Link et al., 1997). Individuals who are stigmatized by the major society may believe and perceive that their groups are actually superior or at least preferable. These belief patterns offer protection from the stigmatized reactions of others. Yet, being in a specific cultural or ethnic group does not always provide protection against stigma in certain diseases. In fact, the stigma of having a mental illness is even more prominent among some ethnic groups. A literature review by Gary (2005) found that African Americans, Asian Americans, Hispanic Americans, and Indian Americans all perceived stigma related to mental illness in addition to the prejudice and discrimination already experienced due to the affiliation with their particular ethnic group.

Cognitive belief patterns help individuals with chronic illnesses achieve identity acceptance and protection in the face of stigmatizing conditions. For example, after extensive cancer surgery, clients may consciously tell themselves that they are fully human beings because the missing part was diseased or useless. The body, although disfigured, is now healthy and totally acceptable. Similarly, wheelchair athletes take pride in their superb physical condition and competitiveness. That is, one’s perception of self-worth influences one’s reactions to disease or disability. An individual’s question,
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Supportive Others

Supportive others are persons (professional and nonprofessional) who do not carry the stigmatizing trait but are knowledgeable and offer sensitive understanding to individuals who do carry it. These people are called the wise by Goffman (1963) and are accorded acceptance within the group of stigmatized individuals. Desired behaviors are simply the ones two friends or acquaintances would use. The stigmatized person must be seen and treated as a full human being—viewed as more than body changes or orthopedic equipment, seen as a person who is more than a stigmatized condition.

The AIDS epidemic has added to the impetus for the development of groups of supportive others. In many cities, the model of care for those with AIDS depends on volunteer, community-based groups that supply food, transportation, in-home care, acceptance, and support. This community network is an adjunct to hospital care and provides a vivid example of wise others who are essential to the care of these clients.

Implications for Professional Practice

One way an individual can become wise is by asking straightforward, sensitive questions, such as inquiring about the disabled person’s condition. Many individuals with disabilities would welcome the opportunity to disclose as much or as little as they wish, because that would mean that the disability was no longer taboo. For example, the disabled person may prefer that others ask about a cane or a walker rather than ignore it. This opportunity allows the disabled individual to reply with whatever explanation he or she wishes. Therefore, the disability is acknowledged, not ignored. It goes without saying that these questions should be asked after a beginning relationship is established, as opposed to being asked out of idle curiosity.

The process of becoming wise is not simple; it may mean offering oneself and waiting for...
Interventions: Coping with Stigma or Reducing Stigma

This chapter has dealt primarily with adults’ perceptions of stigma and interventional strategies that healthcare providers can use to both raise awareness of and to decrease the incidence of stigma. To decrease the cycle of stigma throughout society, it is necessary to begin with the next generation. Indeed, raising awareness and decreasing stigma for children with mental illness is a goal of the US Surgeon General. A study by Watson et al. (2005) offers some insight into adolescents’ attitudes and thought processes regarding people with mental illness, and suggests strategies appropriate for the adolescent population.

**Purpose:** To explore the dimensions of mental illness stigma relevant to adolescents and to determine the effects of demographic factors (race, gender, age, familiarity with mental illness).

**Sample:** Students at two suburban Chicago high schools (N = 415). The sample was 53% female, 79% caucasian, 40% stated that they had a family member with mental illness, and 24% self-identified as having a mental illness.

**Method:** The 24-item Attitudes Toward Serious Mental Illness Scale-Adolescent Version (ATSMI-AV) was used. Factor analyses were conducted and $t$ tests were done to examine differences in ratings by demographic factors.

**Findings:** Males scored significantly higher ($t = 5.21, p = .00$) on both the Threat and Categorical Thinking factors. The Freshmen/Sophomore students scored significantly higher ($t = 3.38, p = 0.00$) on the Social Control/Concern factor than did the Junior/Senior group of students. Students with a family history of mental illness had statistically significantly higher scores on the Social Control/Concern factor and lower scores for Categorical Thinking than those students who did not indicate a family history.

**Conclusions:** Findings supported previous research indicating a connection between more positive attitudes and interaction with people with mental illness. Strategies targeting categorical by assisting adolescents to view people with mental illness as individuals may be a first step in raising awareness and decreasing enacted stigma.


Validation of acceptance. Healthcare professionals who encounter individuals with chronic illness cannot prove themselves as wise immediately. Validation requires consistent behavior by the professional that is sensitive, knowledgeable, and accepting. Being wise is not a new role for nurses or other caring healthcare professionals. Nurses have traditionally worked in medically underserved areas with discredited persons and are accustomed to treating clients as individuals, not as conditions. Nurses often assume the predominant role of gatekeepers to the healthcare delivery system for many devalued individuals. Often, clients with chronic illnesses receive effective and efficient care from these nurses and other healthcare professionals, who have great opportunities to perform the role of the wise.
Another strategy healthcare professionals can use to acquire real-life knowledge about individuals with a particular illness is to increase their interaction with people who have the disorder (Heijenders & Van Der Meij, 2006). In addition to increasing exposure to and interaction with people who have a particular stigmatizing condition, Corrigan and Penn (1999) suggest that it is important for healthcare professionals to be exposed to people who are successfully coping with a condition; those who have recovered from mental illness, who are in remission, or who have been successfully rehabilitated. This knowledge can enable them to offer not only sensitive understanding and practical suggestions to chronically ill individuals, but also hope. Nurses who work with AIDS clients, for instance, have the opportunity to find out which behavior is really effective and can learn about outcomes and clients’ reactions. This information is extremely valuable as providers advocate for similar clients and their families.

**Implications for Professional Education**

Healthcare professionals’ attitudes are representative of general societal views and so can be expected to include prejudices. Because healthcare professionals have prolonged relationships with chronically ill individuals, the impact of these prejudices can be great. Programs to teach professional staff to identify and correct preconceived and often unconscious notions of categories and stereotypes deserve high priority (Dudley, 1983).

Providing intensive staff education for the purpose of reducing stigma perception by all employees in any particular agency is beneficial. In addition, professional staff are then in a position to practice role model behavior and to give information to help nonprofessional staff treat clients in an accepting manner.

One study of stigma-promoting behaviors provides ideas for healthcare professionals who wish to change their attitudes (Dudley, 1983). In Dudley’s study, the most frequent stigma-promoting behaviors included the following: staring, denial of opportunities for clients to present views, inappropriate language in referring to clients, inappropriate restrictions of activities, violation of confidentiality, physical abuse, and ignoring clients. Inservice days that focus on both didactic presentation of communication strategies and role-playing specific scenarios would be a first step to eliminating situations of enacted stigma in the workplace.

One way to increase visibility and heighten awareness about the impact of stigma is to encourage structured contact between healthcare professionals and affected individuals (Joachim & Acorn, 2000). This approach should be preceded by group work with a knowledgeable leader who can help identify and work through attitudes and reactions. For example, many nursing students do not like skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) because older adults are seen as unappealing. A gerontology nurse specialist spent time with such a group of students before they began working in the SNF. She showed slides of faces etched with character and told stories of interesting experiences these individuals had that helped the students see the elderly as human beings. A group discussion between the specialist and students confronted myths and stereotypical thinking regarding the stigma of aging. As a result, these students had a more positive experience at the SNF.

Knowledgeable preparation for contact with stigmatized individuals does not solve all problems; it is, however, one way to expose stigmatized reactions such as stereotypes, to examine them, and to provide information to caregivers. The group sessions described here may be appropriate for both nonprofessional and professional caregivers in the community or in agencies.

**Implications for Community Education Programs**

Educational programs that reduce the effects of stigma can be shared with the community at
large. Many organizations, such as the American Cancer Society and the American Diabetes Association, provide speakers or literature for the community. Schools, scout troops, and church groups are ideal settings for sensitive introductions of individuals who have many positive values and characteristics but do not meet normal health expectations. For instance, individuals with AIDS have been the focus of group discussions in which children learn to see these people simply as other human beings. Educational programs, such as those that dispel the fears about mental illness, reduce the stigmatizing effects of that disease (Link et al., 1989).

Much of the stigma attached to chronic conditions still pervades society’s attitudes and policies (Herek et al., 2003); yet, situations have changed. In the 1970s, an unprecedented and multilayered surge of activism grew among individuals with disabilities and their advocates and resulted in significant social and structural change. Individuals with disabilities began to speak out by publishing magazines, creating movies and videos, and organizing political action on both the local and national levels. Their actions greatly influenced a landmark change, namely, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), which was signed into law in 1990. This legislation requires the government and the private sector to provide disabled individuals with opportunities for jobs, education, access to transportation, and access to public buildings.

The media can also be influenced to present a more positive portrayal of people with chronic conditions. Providers and others can write to television networks that show individuals with disabilities functioning well and commend them for these portrayals.

Mass media campaigns designed to increase awareness of certain conditions or risk factors for disease can backfire in terms of preventing or reducing stigma. Clients with lung cancer not only perceived stigma of cancer in general (such as fear of disclosure, financial impact, body image changes, and effects on family and social relationships), but also the stigma that is associated with smoking and the shame of a self-inflicted disease, regardless of whether they stopped smoking or had never smoked. They experienced fear related to death as depicted by the mass media, families and friends avoiding contact, and being looked upon as being “dirty” in relation to smoking (Chapple, Ziebland, & McPherson, 2004).

Another study identified methods of health communication that were designed to increase public awareness but actually had the opposite effect of increasing public stigma (Wang, 1998). The health communication approaches conveyed individuals with obvious disabling characteristics with the accompanying message, “Don’t be like this.” Awareness was heightened at the expense of furthering the stigma of the disabled individual. Healthcare professionals who volunteer to serve on executive boards of healthcare agencies or support agencies can offer guidance to those developing marketing campaigns, public service announcements, and community education materials.

Recent social changes have suggested that internalization of stigma based on prevailing social norms may be changing for some health problems. Rehabilitation programs for substance abuse are now commonly covered by health insurance, in part as a result of active consumer demand, evidencing a change of social attitude (Garfinkel & Dorian, 2000). The impact of stigmatizing conditions in women’s health, such as abortion and breast cancer with mastectomy, has been reduced (Bennett, 1997). These changes are, perhaps, evidence that visibility and disclosure may have a positive impact on the process of negative stereotyping.

### OUTCOMES

Determining client outcomes, like many of the psychosocial concepts associated with chronic illnesses, is difficult. Some clients may be stigmatized...
on a regular basis but have been able to overcome the personal feelings associated with it. Therefore, client outcomes of stigma might be the lack of other common psychosocial effects of chronic illness. For example:

1. The client is not socially isolated, but is continuing his or her daily and normal activities without difficulty.
2. The client’s self-esteem remains high despite the chronic illness and accompanying physical symptoms.
3. Healthy relationships continue with family, friends, and supportive others.
4. The client is not depressed and interacts appropriately with others.

**STUDY QUESTIONS**

1. Compare and contrast the concepts of felt stigma and enacted stigma. Are these two concepts mutually exclusive?
2. How does the process of labeling by others influence the perception of felt stigma and incidences of enacted stigma?
3. Advanced practice nurses can implement strategies to decrease incidences of enacted stigma in society. What might the advanced practice nurse do in each of the following roles to decrease stigma: nurse administrator, nurse educator, clinician?
4. As a change agent in your practice setting, what strategies can you readily implement to increase awareness of stigma among administrators, healthcare professionals, and support staff?
5. What strategies can you readily implement to decrease the perceptions of stigma by your clients?
6. Discuss the similarities and differences among prejudice, stereotyping, and labeling. What is the relationship to stigma?

**INTERNET RESOURCES**

**Mental Health Issues**

US Department of Health and Human Services stigma homepage: www.mentalhealth.samhsa.gov/stigma
Stigma.org links to mental health Web sites: www.stigma.org
National Health Awareness Campaign: www.nostigma.org
National Alliance on Mental Illness Stigma Busters: www.nami.org/Hometemplate.cfm

**HIV/AIDS Issues**

Stigma, Discrimination, and Attitudes to HIV & AIDS: www.avert.org/aidsstigma
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