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Evidence-Based Physical Therapist Practice

OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this chapter the student/practitioner will be able to do the following:

1. Discuss the circumstances that have resulted in an emphasis on the use of evidence in practice.
2. Distinguish among definitions of evidence-based medicine, evidence-based practice, and evidence-based physical therapist (EBPT) practice.
3. Discuss the use of evidence in physical therapist decision-making in the context of the American Physical Therapy Association’s Guide to Physical Therapist Practice 3.0.1
4. Describe focus areas of EBPT practice.
5. Describe the general steps involved in EBPT practice.
6. Discuss the barriers to EBPT practice and possible strategies for reducing them.

Introduction

Physical therapists engage in high-stakes clinical decision-making to address diseases and disorders that interfere with a person’s ability to move. Our initial professional education provides a framework that supports systematic and iterative collection and analysis of information about these problems, interspersed with judgment about what that information means and what we can do about it. As experience is gained through practice, this clinical decision-making framework is revised and refined, an indication of our growing expertise. Jensen and colleagues proposed that this higher-level clinical reasoning results from collaborative processes informed by a physical therapist’s reflection about the impact of their actions (Figure 1.1).2 Sources of information that feed these processes include (1) patient or client perspectives, experiences, and values; (2) objective data from the physical examination; and (3) available scientific evidence.

Use of systematically developed evidence in clinical decision-making is promoted extensively across health care professions and practice settings. Gordon Guyatt, MD, David L. Sackett, MD, and their respective colleagues published the original, definitive works that instruct physicians in the use of clinical research evidence in medical practice.3,4 In addition, federal agencies, including the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, evaluate the strength of published evidence during the development of clinical guidelines and health care policies.5,6 Professional associations...
such as the American Medical Association, the American Heart Association, and the American Occupational Therapy Association also have developed resources to help their members and consumers access evidence regarding a wide variety of diseases, treatments, and outcomes.\textsuperscript{7–9}

The physical therapy profession also has expressed a commitment to the development of evidence-based practice. Figure 1.1 presents a model of the philosophy of physical therapy practice.

**Figure 1.1** Philosophy of physical therapy practice.


Meet Your Patient

Anne is a 41-year-old right-handed high school chemistry teacher and married mother of two boys ages 9 and 11 [preferred pronouns are she/her/hers]. She presents to your outpatient physical therapy clinic with a 4-week complaint of progressively increasing pain that extends from her right elbow to midway down her lateral forearm. She denies previous injury to this upper extremity but notes that she spent several hours shoveling heavy wet snow from her front walk prior to the onset of her symptoms. Her pain makes it difficult for her to use a keyboard and mouse, grasp and manipulate pens and utensils, and maintain a grip on a full coffee mug or glass beaker. She also has discontinued her upper extremity strength training regimen and switched from an elliptical aerobic device to a recumbent cycle ergometer.

She states that ice packs temporarily relieve her pain. She sought out physical therapy because her primary care physician recommended anti-inflammatory medication and an orthopedic surgeon recommended a corticosteroid injection. She does not want to take medication in any form. She is anxious to resolve this problem as it interferes with her work and daily exercise program. Anne also has lots of questions about her options and any research available to prove or disprove their usefulness in her case.

How will you describe the role of evidence in your clinical decision-making?
and use of published evidence. The American Physical Therapy Association envisioned that by 2020 physical therapists would be autonomous practitioners who, among other things, used evidence in practice. Numerous articles regarding the methods for, benefits of, and barriers to evidence-based practice have been published in the journal Physical Therapy.10-13 For several years the journal also included a recurring feature, “Evidence in Practice,” in which a patient case was described and the subsequent search for, evaluation of, and application of evidence were illustrated.14 The journal also added features such as “The Bottom Line” and podcasts in 2006 and 2008, respectively, to facilitate the translation of evidence into practice. Finally, the American Physical Therapy Association has curated a variety of resources to meet the different needs that practicing physical therapists have for evidence.15

The historical groundswell of interest in the use of evidence in health care resulted from the convergence of multiple issues, including (1) extensive documentation of seemingly unexplained practice variation in the management of a variety of conditions, (2) the continued increase in health care costs disproportionate to inflation, (3) publicity surrounding medical errors, (4) identification of potential or actual harm resulting from previously approved medications, and (5) trends in technology assessment and outcomes research.16-19 In addition, the rapid evolution of Internet technology increased both the dissemination of and access to health care research.

Related issues stimulated the drive for evidence-based physical therapist (EBPT) practice, the most dramatic of which was the use of evidence by commercial and government payers as a basis for their coverage decisions. For example, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ruled that insufficient scientific evidence existed to support the use of transcutaneous electrical stimulation for chronic low back pain and stated that patients must be enrolled in a clinical trial as a condition of coverage for this modality under the Part B benefit.20 In light of these important developments, physical therapists needed an understanding of what evidence-based practice is, how it works, and how it may improve their clinical decision-making.

Evidence-Based What?
The use of evidence in health care is referred to by a variety of labels with essentially similar meanings. Evidence-based medicine, a term relevant to physicians, is defined as “the conscientious, explicit, and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients. The practice of evidence-based medicine means integrating individual clinical expertise with the best available clinical evidence from systematic research.”21(p71)

“Evidence-based practice” and “evidence-based health care” are labels that have been created to link the behavior described by evidence-based medicine to other health care professionals. Hicks provided this expanded definition: “care that ‘takes place when decisions that affect the care of patients are taken with due weight accorded to all valid, relevant information’.”22(p.6) In all cases, evidence does not replace clinical expertise; rather, evidence is used to inform more fully a clinical decision-making process in which expertise provides one perspective to the clinical problem.

Regardless of the label, the implicit message is that the use of evidence in clinical decision-making is a movement away from unquestioning reliance on knowledge gained from authority or tradition. Authority may be attributed to established experts in the field as well as to revered teachers in professional training programs. Tradition may be thought of as practice habits expressed by the phrase “this is what I have always done for patients like this one.” Habits may be instilled by eminent authority figures, but they also may be based on local or regional practice norms that are reinforced by their use in payment formulas (“usual and customary”) and in legal proceedings (“local standard of care”). Practice habits also may be reinforced by errors in clinical reasoning related to various biases and the inadequacies of experience-based problem solving, such as those described in Table 1.1.23

Knowledge derived from authority and tradition often reflects an initial understanding of clinical phenomena from which diagnostic and treatment approaches are developed based on biologic plausibility and anecdotal experience. As such, this form of knowledge will continue to have a role as
Table 1.1 Examples of Biases and Heuristic Failures in Clinical Reasoning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Reasoning Error</th>
<th>Nature of the Problem</th>
<th>Clinical Management Consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascertainment Bias</td>
<td>Occurs when a clinician draws a conclusion based on previously held expectations of a particular outcome (e.g., a physical therapist determines that a patient is catastrophizing their back pain experience because they have expressed job dissatisfaction).</td>
<td>The physical therapist forgoes clinical examination procedures that would have identified joint restrictions in the woman’s lumbar spine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation Bias</td>
<td>Occurs when a clinician selectively focuses on information that confirms a hypothesis (e.g., a physical therapist remembers only those people with adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder who improved following application of ultrasound and forgets those people who did not improve with the same technique).</td>
<td>The physical therapist applies ultrasound to all people with adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder regardless of their response to the modality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recency Effect</td>
<td>Occurs when a clinician believes that a particular patient presentation or response is a common phenomenon because it is easily remembered (e.g., a physical therapist believes that fibromyalgia is more common in men than in women because the last two patients with this diagnostic label were male). OR Occurs when a clinician believes that a particular patient presentation or response is an uncommon phenomenon because it is not easily remembered (e.g., a new graduate physical therapist does not remember how to differentiate among various sources of painful conditions that express themselves in dermatomal patterns).</td>
<td>The physical therapist classifies all men with generalized pain in the upper back as having fibromyalgia. The physical therapist mistakes pain due to herpes zoster for radicular pain due to vertebral joint restriction in a person with an idiopathic acute onset of symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representativeness Exclusivity</td>
<td>Occurs when a clinician draws conclusions about a patient presentation or response based only upon those people who return for scheduled treatment sessions (e.g., a physical therapist believes all people with Parkinson’s disease benefit from a particular balance program based on their experience with people who have completed an episode of treatment versus those who have not).</td>
<td>The physical therapist applies the balance program exactly the same way for all people with Parkinson’s disease who are referred for management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Bias</td>
<td>Occurs when the importance of an outcome in the eyes of the clinician distorts the likelihood of the outcome occurring (e.g., a physical therapist’s concern about undiagnosed fractures in acute painful conditions outweighs the data about prevalence of fractures under specific situations).</td>
<td>The physical therapist forgoes application of validated clinical prediction rules and refers all people with acute painful conditions for radiographic testing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


new clinical problems are encountered that require new solutions. The fundamental weakness in a clinician’s dependence on this type of knowledge, however, is the potential for selection of ineffective or even harmful tests, measures, or interventions as a result of the lack of inquiry into their “true” effects. These cognitive and heuristic failures can lead to incomplete or incorrect conclusions about what is wrong with an individual patient or client and what is the most effective means for treating the problem.
Straus and colleagues offer as an example the use of hormone replacement therapy in women without a uterus or those who are postmenopausal. Women in these situations were observed to have an increased risk of heart disease that, from a biologic perspective, appeared connected to the loss of estrogen and progestin. Replacing the lost hormones in an effort to reduce the risk of heart disease in these women made sense. The success of this treatment was confirmed further by observational studies and small randomized controlled trials. However, the early termination in 2002 of a large hormone replacement therapy trial sponsored by the National Institutes of Health challenged the concept of protective effects from this intervention. The study’s initial results indicated, among other things, that estrogen replacement did not protect postmenopausal women against cardiovascular disease as had been hypothesized. Moreover, long-term estrogen plus progestin therapy increased a woman’s risk for the development of heart attacks, strokes, blood clots, and breast cancer. In effect, years of clinical behavior based on a biologically plausible theory supported by lower-quality evidence were invalidated by a well-designed piece of evidence. This example is extreme, but it makes the point that clinicians should willingly and knowingly reevaluate the assumptions that underlie a practice that is based on authority and tradition supported by limited evidence.

Evidence-Based Physical Therapist Practice

With that background in mind, this text has adopted the term evidence-based physical therapist (EBPT) practice to narrow the professional and clinical frame of reference. The definition of EBPT practice should be consistent with previously established concepts regarding the use of evidence, but it also should reflect the specific nature of physical therapist practice.

The American Physical Therapy Association’s Guide to Physical Therapist Practice 3.0 describes physical therapy as a profession informed by the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). This framework is an expansion of the biopsychosocial model of health that provides “a means not only to describe the states of illness and disability, but also to classify the components and magnitude of the level of health.” The model illustrated in Figure 1.2 depicts the clinical aspects

![Structure of the ICF model of functioning and disability](http://guidetoptpractice.apta.org)
of a patient's or client's situation as well as the social context that shapes perceptions of health, wellness, illness, and disability for each individual. Within this framework physical therapists examine, evaluate, diagnose, prognosticate, and intervene with individuals with identified impairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions as well as with persons with health, prevention, and wellness needs. These professional behaviors are summarized in the term patient and client management. Finally, the management process incorporates the individual patient or client as a participant whose knowledge, understanding, goals, preferences, and appraisal of their situation are integral to the development and implementation of a physical therapist's plan of care.

A definition of EBPT practice that reflects the intent of evidence-based medicine as well as the nature of physical therapist practice is offered here:1,27

Evidence-based physical therapist practice is “open and thoughtful clinical decision making” about physical therapist management of a patient or client that integrates the “best available evidence with clinical judgment” and the patient's or client's preferences and values, and that further considers the larger social context in which physical therapist services are provided, to optimize patient or client outcomes and quality of life.

The term open implies a process in which physical therapists are able to articulate in understandable terms the details of their recommendations, including (1) the steps taken to arrive at this conclusion, (2) the underlying rationale, and (3) the potential impact of taking or refusing action. Thoughtful clinical decision making refers to the physical therapist's appraisal of the risks and benefits of various options within a professional context that includes ethics, standards of care, and legal or regulatory considerations.28 This is where the systematic, iterative and reflective behaviors inherent in expert practice come into play. Best available evidence refers to timely, well-designed research studies relevant to the question a physical therapist has about a patient's or client's management. Preferences and values are the patient's or client's “unique preferences, concerns, and expectations”4 against which each option should be weighed and that ultimately must be reflected in a collaborative decision-making process between the therapist and the patient or client. This point is consistent with the emphasis on person-centered care as articulated by the World Health Organization.29 Finally, “larger social context” refers to the social, cultural, economic, and political influences that shape health policy, including rules governing the delivery of and payment for health care services.30 Figure 1.3 provides an illustration of EBPT practice.

Evidence-Based Physical Therapist Practice Focus Areas

A clinician interested in EBPT practice rightly might ask, “Evidence for what?” The process of patient and client management provides the answer to this question when you consider its individual elements (Figure 1.4).1 To conduct an examination and evaluation, physical therapists must choose, apply, and interpret findings from a wide variety of tests and measures, such as ligament stress techniques and quantifications of strength and range of motion. Similarly, accurate diagnosis of conditions resulting in pain depends on a properly constructed and tested classification scheme. Well-designed research may assist the physical therapist in selecting the best techniques to correctly identify, quantify, and classify an individual’s problem, a result that will enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery. Prognosis refers to a prediction of the future status of the patient or client that may reflect the natural course of a condition or result following physical therapy treatments or prevention activities. Predictive ability depends on the physical therapist’s understanding of the phenomenon in question (i.e., accurate diagnosis) as well as the identification of indicators that signal a particular direction. In all cases, the therapist must determine which of the numerous characteristics about the individual's physical, psychological,
behavioral, and environmental situation will be most predictive of the outcome of interest. Evidence may identify the most relevant factors that will produce the most accurate prediction.

The choice of interventions is the step in the patient and client management process that carries particular weight because of the dual responsibilities of the provider to “do good” (beneficence) and to “do no harm” (nonmaleficence). The stakes in this balancing act increase when the intervention in question has a risk of serious consequences, such as permanent disability or mortality. Most treatment options physical therapists implement are not “high risk” in this sense; however, the application of low-risk interventions that produce no positive effect does not meet the test of beneficence. A common clinical scenario is one in which a patient presents with a painful condition and the therapist must decide which manual techniques, exercise, or some combination of both will be most effective for this individual. Relevant studies may assist the therapist and the patient in a risk-benefit analysis by providing information about effectiveness and harm.

The end products of the patient and client management process are referred to as the outcomes, which should be distinguished from treatment effects.30 The former focus on results that

---

**Figure 1.3** Evidence-based physical therapist practice in a societal context. Reproduced from Evidence-Based Healthcare: A Practical Guide for Therapists, Tracy Bury & Judy Mead, Page 10, Copyright © 1999 by Elsevier. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier.
The process of physical therapist patient and client management.

![Diagram of the process of physical therapist patient and client management]

Evidence-based physical therapist (EBPT) practice as a process starts with a question in response to a patient’s or client’s problem or concern. A search for relevant studies to answer the question is then followed by a critical appraisal of their merits and conclusions as well as a determination of their applicability to the individual. At the conclusion of the appraisal, the therapist will consider the evidence in the context of their clinical expertise, professional ethical principles, and current professional standards. The individual’s values and preferences with respect to that evidence also must be discerned through explicit conversation and integrated into this phase of the clinical decision-making process. Finally, the therapist and that individual will collaborate to identify and implement the next steps in the management process.

Successful EBPT practice depends on a variety of factors. First, physical therapists require sufficient knowledge about their patient’s or client’s condition to recognize what is unknown. In other
words, physical therapists must be willing to suspend the assumption that they have complete information about an individual’s situation. In addition, they must have or have access to knowledge of the evidence appraisal process—that is, which features characterize stronger versus weaker study designs. Second, physical therapists need access to the evidence, a situation that has improved considerably with the advent of online databases and electronic publication of journals. Availability of these resources, however, does not ensure their efficient use, particularly when it comes to developing effective search strategies. Third, physical therapists need the time to search for, appraise, and integrate the evidence into their practice. In busy clinical settings, time is a limited commodity that usually is dedicated to administrative tasks, such as documentation of services and discussions with referral sources or payers. Unless the entire clinic or department adopts the EBPT practice philosophy, it may be difficult for a single physical therapist to incorporate the behavior into the patient and client management routine.

Results from a survey conducted by Jette et al. in 2003 suggested that some of the requirements of EBPT practice are obstacles to its implementation. Although most respondents (n = 488) believed evidence was necessary for practice and improved quality of care, 67% of the subjects listed “lack of time” as one of the top three barriers to implementation of EBPT practice. Nearly all respondents (96%) indicated they had access to evidence; however, 65% reported performing searches for clinical studies less than twice in a typical month. In addition, notable proportions of the sample indicated lower confidence levels in their abilities to search effectively (34%), appraise the study designs (44%), and interpret results using terms such as “odds ratio” (47%) and “confidence interval” (37%). Finally, older therapists with more years since licensure were less likely to have the necessary training in, familiarity with, and confidence in the skills necessary for effective EBPT practice.

Subsequent studies have suggested an overall improvement in physical therapists’ knowledge of and self-efficacy with evidence-based practice skills. However, changes in clinical decision-making in response to available evidence continue to lag. This disparity between EBPT practice knowledge and actual practice fuels the current interest in knowledge translation methods. Jones et al. published a systematic review of the evidence regarding knowledge translation interventions in rehabilitation. Thirteen of the articles included in the review were specific to physical therapist practice. All of these studies included some form of professional education regarding EBPT practice. Only two included audit and feedback mechanisms to remind study participants to use the techniques they learned. Results were mixed, a finding Jones and colleagues attributed to the low methodological quality of most of the studies. Clearly, more work is needed to close the gap between the EBPT practice knowledge and skills acquired in professional physical therapist education and their application in clinical practice.

So what can be done to reduce the barriers to effective EBPT practice? Perhaps most importantly, a philosophical shift is required to develop consistent behavior during a busy day of patient or client management. Physical therapists must value the contribution trustworthy evidence can make to their clinical decision-making processes. Management support also is necessary in terms of EBPT practice education, access to evidence, time allotted in a therapist’s schedule, and ongoing feedback about the impact evidence has on patient or client outcomes. Use of services that locate, summarize, and appraise the evidence for easy review by practitioners may also help the time issue. However, physical therapists must determine whether the methodology used by these services is sufficiently stringent to provide an appropriate assessment of evidence quality. Databases dedicated to physical therapy evidence also may enhance the efficiency of the search process.

Ultimately, the ability to engage in EBPT practice consistently requires practice, just like any other skill. The process starts with the individual patient or client and the questions generated from the initial encounter, such as the following:

- Which tests will provide accurate classification of this person’s problem?
- What activity limitations can be anticipated if this problem is not addressed?
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WRAP-UP

Summary
The use of systematically developed evidence in clinical decision-making is promoted among many health professions in response to documented practice variation and increasing health care costs as well as in response to a desire for improved quality of care. Evidence-based practice in any profession promotes less dependence on knowledge derived from authority or tradition through the use of evidence to evaluate previously unquestioned information. EBPT practice is open, thoughtful clinical decision-making that integrates the best available evidence, clinical expertise, and the patient's or client's preferences and values within the larger social context of the individual and the therapist. Well-designed research studies may inform decision-making regarding measurement, diagnosis, prognosis, interventions, and outcomes as well as the perspectives and experiences of individuals seeking physical therapist services. Requirements for EBPT practice include a willingness to challenge your assumptions, the ability to develop relevant clinical questions about a patient or client, access to evidence, knowledge regarding evidence appraisal, and the time to make it all happen as well as a willingness to acquire, practice, and evaluate the impact of the necessary skills described in this text.

Exercises
1. Describe two factors that have prompted the emphasis on evidence-based practice in health care. How might evidence address these issues or concerns?
2. Discuss the strengths and weaknesses of clinical knowledge derived from:
   a. Authority
   b. Evidence
   c. Tradition
3. Describe a specific example in current physical therapist practice of each type of knowledge listed in question 2.
4. Use Anne’s case history and provide examples for each of the potential errors in clinical reasoning described in Table 1.1.
5. Discuss the potential contribution of evidence to each step of the patient and client management process. Provide clinical examples relevant to physical therapist practice to support your points.
6. Discuss the role of the patient or client in EBPT practice. Provide a clinical example relevant to physical therapist practice to support your points.
7. Think about your experiences in the clinical setting and complete the survey in Figure 1.5 modified from Jette et al. What do your answers tell you about your willingness and readiness to participate in EBPT practice?
8. Based on your results from question 7, identify two changes you would need to make to enhance your ability to participate in EBPT practice. For each change, identify one strategy you could implement to move you in the right direction.

---

### Appendix.

**Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) Questionnaire**

This section of the questionnaire inquires about personal attitudes toward, use of, and perceived benefits and limitations of EBP.

For the following items, place a mark [X] in the appropriate box that indicates your response:

1. Application of EBP is necessary in the practice of physical therapy.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

2. Literature and research findings are useful in my day-to-day practice.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

3. I need to increase the use of evidence in my daily practice.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

4. The adoption of EBP places an unreasonable demand on physical therapists.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

5. I am interested in learning or improving the skills necessary to incorporate EBP into my practice.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

6. EBP improves the quality of patient care.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

7. EBP does not take into account the limitations of my clinical practice setting.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

8. My reimbursement rate will increase if I incorporate EBP into my practice.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

9. Strong evidence is lacking to support most of the interventions I use with my patients.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

10. EBP helps me make decisions about patient care.
    - Strongly disagree
    - Disagree
    - Neutral
    - Agree
    - Strongly Agree

11. EBP does not take into account patient preferences.
    - Strongly disagree
    - Disagree
    - Neutral
    - Agree
    - Strongly Agree

For the following items, place a mark [X] in the appropriate box that indicates your response for a typical month:

12. Read/review research/literature related to my clinical practice.
    - ≤ 1 article
    - 2-5 articles
    - 6-10 articles
    - 11-15 articles
    - 16+ articles

13. Use professional literature and research findings in the process of clinical decision making.
    - 1 time
    - 2-5 times
    - 6-10 times
    - 11-15 times
    - 16+ times

14. Use MEDLINE or other databases to search for practice-relevant literature/research.
    - 2-5 times
    - 6-10 times
    - 1-15 times
    - 16+ times

The following section inquires about personal use and understanding of clinical practice guidelines. Practice guidelines provide a description of standard specifications for care of patients with specific diseases and are developed through a formal, consensus-building process that incorporates the best scientific evidence of effectiveness and expert opinion available.

For the following items, place a mark [X] in the appropriate box that indicates your response:

15. Practice guidelines are available for topics related to my practice.
    - Yes
    - No
    - Do Not Know

16. I actively seek practice guidelines pertaining to areas of my practice.
    - Strongly disagree
    - Disagree
    - Neutral
    - Agree
    - Strongly Agree

17. I use practice guidelines in my practice.
    - Strongly disagree
    - Disagree
    - Neutral
    - Agree
    - Strongly Agree

18. I am aware that practice guidelines are available online.
    - Yes
    - No

19. I am able to access practice guidelines online.
    - Yes
    - No

20. I am able to incorporate patient preferences with practice guidelines.
    - Strongly disagree
    - Disagree
    - Neutral
    - Agree
    - Strongly Agree

The following section inquires about availability of resources to access information and personal skills in using those resources.

For the following items, place a mark [X] in the appropriate box that indicates your response. In items referring to your facility, consider the practice setting in which you do the majority of your clinical care.

21. I have access to current research through professional journals in their paper form.
    - Yes
    - No

22. I have the ability to access relevant databases and the Internet at my facility.
    - Yes
    - No

[Continues]
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23. I have the ability to access relevant databases and the Internet at home or locations other than my facility.
   - Yes
   - No
   - Do Not Know
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

24. My facility supports the use of current research in practice.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

25. I learned the foundations for EBP as part of my academic preparation.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

26. I have received formal training in search strategies for finding research relevant to my practice.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

27. I am familiar with the medical search engines (e.g., MEDLINE, CINAHL).
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

28. I received formal training in critical appraisal of research literature as part of my academic preparation.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

29. I am confident in my ability to critically review professional literature.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

30. I am confident in my ability to find relevant research to answer my clinical questions.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Neutral
   - Agree
   - Strongly Agree

For the following item, place a mark in one box in the row for each term.

31. My understanding of the following terms is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Understand Completely</th>
<th>Understand Somewhat</th>
<th>Do Not Understand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Relative risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Absolute risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Odds ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Meta-analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Confidence interval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Heterogeneity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Publication bias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the following items, rank your top 3 choices by placing number in the appropriate boxes (1 = most important).

32. Rank your 3 greatest barriers to the use of EBP in your clinical practice.
   - Insufficient time
   - Lack of information resources
   - Lack of research skills
   - Poor ability to critically appraise the literature
   - Lack of generalizability of the literature findings to my patient population
   - Inability to apply research findings to individual patients with unique characteristics
   - Lack of understanding of statistical analysis
   - Lack of collective support among my colleagues in my facility
   - Lack of interest
   - Lack of understanding of the medical literature
   - Lack of time
   - Lack of knowledge of research methodology

Figure 1.5 (continued)
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